# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

### A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 4/26/2022

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fort Worth District, SWF-2022-00110

C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: Texas County: Webb City: Laredo
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 27.748077 N, Long99.449836 W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: Sombrerito Creek
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the a quatic resource flows: Rio Grande River
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 130800022801
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are a vailable upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 26, 2022
	Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RH	ia section 10 determination of Jurisdiction.
There	e Pick List "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
D	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere <b>Are No</b> "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review are
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
	TNWs, including territorial seas
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or interstate) waters including isolated wetlands
	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	— Toblatos (Interstate) Waters, Industry Waters,
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
	Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual
	Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Not known and not delineated using 1987 Manual.
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup>
	Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were a ssessed within the review area and determined to be not
	jurisdictional. Explain: This AJD form addresses all water features within the 1,400-acre tract of land since
	all water features are isolated and not jurisdictional. Project site is in the upper end of 2 watersheds. The
	mir tracer reacures are resonated and not jurisductionals i rejectore is in the apperent or a water shous. I he

features identified include 4 unnamed ephemeral streams totaling 14,505 lineal feet (with widths of 3 or 5 feet), 4 ponds totaling 3.003 acres, and 3 upland drainage swales totaling 8,585 lineal feet. See below descriptions from consultant's report. All features are isolated due to historic construction of fracking ponds on and off site which capture almost all hydrology or enough to eliminate any channel maintenance with an ordinary high water mark for lengths of more than 200 lineal feet below the ponds. Aerial imagery of 10/15/2014 shows extensive ponding outside pond normal boundaries from high precipitation event (more than 2 inches) as evidenced by APT output and was wetter than normal with no flow occurring or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

evidence thereof from the ponds across 2 track roads below said ponds. The swales are not waters of the US but were identified in the delineation report.

Pond 1-0.265 acres - The pond is located to the west of the eastern border with an evident ordinary high water mark (OHWM). There are no apparent connections to other water features on of off the site. The drainage swale (2) is located just south of the pond with no evident connection. At the time of the site investigation, there was not any water within the pond. Due to the observed nature of the pond it would likely be considered isolated and not connected.

Pond 2 – 1.052 acres - The pond is located in the west central portion of the property, with an evident ordinary high water mark (OHWM). The un-named ephemeral drainage 3 drains into this pond. There is no apparent connections to other water features on or off site. At the time of the site investigation, there was not any water within the pond. Due to the observed nature of the pond it would likely be considered ephemeral.

Pond 3 – 1.471 acres - The pond is located in the west central portion of the property, with an evident ordinary high water mark (OHWM). The un-named ephemeral drainage 3 drains into this pond 2, which then flows further south and drains into Pond 3. Outside this drainage, there is no other apparent connection to waters on or off site. At the time of the site investigation, there was not any water within the pond. Due to the observed nature of the pond it would likely be considered ephemeral.

Pond 4-0.215 acres - The pond is located in the south eastern portion of the property, with an evident ordinary high water mark (OHWM). The un-named ephemeral drainage 1 is located just to the north of the pond, with no evident connection. At the time of the site investigation, there was not any water within the pond. Due to the observed nature of the pond it would likely be considered ephemeral.

Ephemeral Stream 1-5,360 l.f. x 5 - The Un-named Tributary enters onto the property at the south eastern boarder via a box culvert under US I-35 with an evident ordinary high water mark (OHWM) which became undfined (overland sheet flow) in various locations as it transversed northwest and west across the site. The un-named tributary continues to flow west off the proposed site and drains into an un-named pond used for oil and gas exploration, approximately 800 feet off the southwestern site boundary. This tributary and pond it drains into is not connected to any navigable waters, including Sombrerito Creek. At the time of the site investigation, there was water within the tributary slowly draining or ponding; however, this was likely due to recent rain events, receing 4.91 inches of rain throughout the month of July. Due to the observed nature of the tributary it would likely be considered ephemeral.

Ephemeral Stream 2-3,175 l.f. x 3-The Un-named Tributary enters onto the property at the northern boarder with an evident ordinary high water mark (OHWM) which became undfined (overland sheet flow) in various locations as it transversed south across the site. There are no apparent connections to water features on or off site. At the time of the site investigation, there was not any water within the tributary. Due to the observed nature of the tributary it would likely be considered ephemeral.

Ephemeral Stream 3-5,280 l.f. x 3- The Un-named Tributary enters onto the property at the northern boarder with an evident ordinary high water mark (OHWM). The tributary flows south and drains into Pond 2 and further drains into pond 3. There are no other apparent connections to water features on or offsite At the time of the site investigation, there was not any water within the tributary. Due to the observed nature of the tributary it would likely be considered ephemeral.

 $Ephemeral Stream 4-690 \ l.f. \ x \ 5-The \ Un-named \ Tributary \ enters \ onto the \ property \ at the north eastern boarder \ with an evident ordinary high water mark (OHWM). The tributary enters the property as a drainage swale (1), and exists the property just south and flows outside the northeastern boundary. There are no apparent connections to water features on or off site. At the time of the site investigation, there was not any water within the tributary. Due to the observed nature of the tributary it would likely be considered ephemeral.$ 

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

- . TNW
  - Identify TNW:
  - Summarize rationale supporting determination:
- Wetland adjacent to TNW
  - Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

B.

1.

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Repanos* have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4. A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law. If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.8.1 for the tributary, Section III.8.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.8.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexu

		ther a signincant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C o tics of non-TNWs tributaries that flow directly or indirectly ii		
(i)		tics of <u>noir raws tributaries</u> that how directly or indirectly h ral Area Conditions:	IILU I IAAA	
(1)		rshed size: <b>acres</b>		
	_	age area: <b>acres</b>		
		age area. <b>acres</b> ige annual rainfall: inches Average annual snow	fall, inchan	
(ii)	AVEI	Physical Characteristics:	ridii. IIICIIES	
(11)	(a)	Relationship with TNW:		
	(0)	Tributary flows directly into TNW.		
		☐ Tributary flows through <b>2</b> tributaries before entering TNW.		
		Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from TNW.		
		Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from RPW.		
		Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW.		
		Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from RPW.		
		Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain		
		Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> :.		
		Tributary stream order, if known: 4.		
		(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that	apply):	
		Tributary is: Natural. Explain:		
		🔲 Artificial (man-made). Explain:	:	
		☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Ex	ıplain:	
		<b>Tributary</b> properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):		
		Average width: feet		
		Average depth: feet		
		Average side slopes: <b>Pick List.</b> Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):		
				☐ Concrete
		□ Salts □ Sands □ Gravel		☐ Muck
		☐ Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type	. /0/ <sub>6</sub>	□ Muck
		Other. Explain: .	5/ /U GUVGI.	
		Tributary condition/stability (e.g., highly eroding, sloughing ban	kel Fynlain	
		Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:		
		Tributary geometry: <b>Pick List</b>		
		Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %		
		(c) Flow:		
		Tributary provides for: <b>Pick List</b>		
		Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: P	ick List	
		Describe flow regime:		
		Other information on duration and volume:		
		Surface flow is: <b>Pick List.</b> Characteristics:		
		Subsurface flow: <b>Unknown</b> . Explain findings:		
		☐ Dye (or other) test performed:		
		Tributary has (check all that apply):		
		Bed and banks		
		OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):	П	al floor LLL.
				the presence of litter and debris
		☐ changes in the character of soil	H	destruction of terrestrial vegetation
		□ shelving     □ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent		the presence of wrack line
		□ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent □ leaf litter disturbed or washed away	┟	sediment sorting scour
		— 1001111101 010101000 01 11001000 01101	ă	multiple observed or predicted flow events
		— coamon aspesican	ō	abrupt change in plant community
		nther (list):		as apronangem pantounnumy

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

		Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain: .				
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral				
			ligh Water Markindicated by:			
		oil or scum line along shore objects	☐ survey to available datum;			
		fine shell/debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics	☐ physical markings; ☐ vegetation types.			
		itidal gauges	vegeration inless changes in vegeration types.			
		other (list):				
	(ii					
	-	aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film;	water quality: general water shed characteristics, etc.). Explain:			
		tify specific pollutants, if known: unknown.				
	(iv) Biolo	gical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):				
		Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):				
			of the ditch.			
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings:				
		☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:				
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	•			
2.	Characte	ristics of <u>wetlands</u> adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or inc	lirectly into TNW			
		ysical Characteristics:				
	(a	General Wetland Characteristics:				
		Properties:				
		Wetland size: acres				
		Wetland type. Explain:				
		Wetland quality. Explain:				
	(Ь	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	•			
	(U	) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:</u> Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain:.				
		Surface flow is: <b>Pick List</b>				
		Characteristics: .				
		Subsurface flow: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain findings: .				
		$\square$ Dye (or other) test performed: .				
	(c					
		Directly abutting				
		☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:				
		☐ Ecological connection. Explain:				
		Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an ear	then hermeast of the wetland			
	(д					
		Project wetlands are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from TNW.				
		Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW.				
		Flow is from: Pick List.	I			
	(···)	Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the <b>Pick Lis</b>	t Hoodplain.			
	(ii)	Chemical Characteristics:				
	Lillen	aracterize wedano system (e.g., water color is clear, orown, oli film tify specific pollutants, if known: unknown.	on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:.			
		ological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply	·):			
	```'		,			
		nabitation.				
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings:				
		☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:				
		☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	•			
3.	Characto	ristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)				
u.		wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: <b>Pick List</b>				
		proximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative	analysis.			
		each wetland, specify the following:				
		<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u>			
CICTA			d: Biological function is habitat for wetland plants and provides surface water filtration.			
	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION (FOR NON-RPWS)					
	A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in					
			rny ora new. The each of the bolowing studenties, a significant nexts exists in the tributary, in substantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when			
			and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions			
perfo	ormed by t	ne tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate	to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a			
tribu	itary and it	s adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similar	ly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of			

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

significant nexus.

C.

Oraw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
  - Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the
  tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

NETE	tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.U:. RMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
•	☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
_	☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at
	Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	□ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
	3. Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is
	provided at Section III.C.
	Provid <u>e es</u> timates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale
	indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Unrectly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2.
	above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a
	significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: <b>0.05</b> acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a
	significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. <sup>9</sup>
	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
	Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
	Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
1001	Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
	ATED (INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE) WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF <u>WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE,</u>
	<b>JOING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):</b> <sup>0</sup> which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
	wnich are or could be used by interstate or loreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. From which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
	which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
	Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
	Other factors. Explain:
	ify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	de estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.

E.

D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Identify type(s) of waters: .  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area for the ponds would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated a griculture) using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 14,505 linear feet 3 or 5 width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: 3.003 acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of a quatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of a quatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
<u>SE</u>	CTIONIV: DATA SOURCES.
<b>A.</b>	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):    Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:   Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.   Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.   Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.   Data sheets prepared by the Corps:   Corps na vigable waters' study:   U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:   USGS NHD data.   USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.   U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Orvil, TX.   USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Survey for Webb County.   National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Orvil TX.   State/Local wetland inventory map(s):   FEMA/FIRM maps: 48479C0825.   100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)   Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): All available Google Earth imagery.   or Other (Name & Date): Consultant on site photos from site visits of 7/27 & 28/2021.   Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:   Applicable/supporting case law:
	☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: ☐ Other information (please specify):

# B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: .